

AI chat tools and large language models

40 minutes

Publishing Online

Outcome 4.5

WHAT THIS LESSON DOES

Learn how large language models predict text from patterns to create confident-sounding answers. Audit AI responses by deciding to trust, verify or reject them, and suggest checks for uncertain information.

CURRICULUM OUTCOMES

Outcome	What the specification asks for
4.5	Discuss their engagement with AI-driven chat tools and large language models.

WHAT STUDENTS SHOULD BE ABLE TO DO

- Discuss engagement with AI chat tools and large language models (LO 4.5)
- Explain in plain terms that a large language model predicts likely text from patterns, and can sound confident whether right or wrong
- Audit AI answers with trust / verify / reject and say how you would check answers you did not simply trust

BEFORE THE BELL

- Prepare a physical list of the invented AI answers (worked example plus three interactive items) for quick reference during the audit.
- Teacher device with the interactive activity ready on the board

AI chat tools and large language models

HOW THE LESSON RUNS

4 min	introduction	Start
8 min	content	Examine and discuss
14 min	activity	Hands-on: AI answer audit
8 min	activity	Your turn
3 min	content	Make sense
2 min	content	Reflect
1 min	summary	What you covered

TEACHING MOVES

- Open with the two engagement board angles (help / mislead in schoolwork), take about four voices, then link to the audit habit.
- Model the webpage-credit worked example first, then run the three interactive items.
- Hard cap the audit: if you are not on the final item by minute 10 of that step, still run Answer 3 as think-vote-reveal (even if brief) and shorten reasoning on Answer 1 instead. Protect the Trust example: it is the one that teaches when trust is fair. Then protect Your turn.
- Circulate during independent writing to prompt specific checks rather than vague ones.
- Use student examples on the board to illustrate good verification steps.
- Keep the model explanation short and use the suggested concrete phrasing.

WHAT TO WATCH FOR

- Students give vague checks such as 'check it'; prompt for a named next step like looking up a date.
- Assuming confident answers are always correct; reinforce that confidence is not evidence.
- Treating every specific claim as an automatic reject; model verify when a named study or figure needs a trail.
- On Answer 1, treating verify as wrong when a student cannot recall the Titanic facts; credit that path, then show why a basic check leads to reject.
- Rejecting all AI output; discuss the balanced audit approach in the summary.

DIFFERENTIATION

- Support: Offer sentence starters for writing the checks.
- Extension: Challenge students to invent their own AI answer example needing verification.
- Support: Allow pair work for the pair-share activity if needed.